# Ev Evaluation of Instruction and Course <br> University of Missouri 

## Group Report for: Streitz,Lonella Kathrine; Course: AMERICAN GOVERNMENT

Course: POL_SC $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}$ Section: $\mathbf{0 4}$ Semester: FS2014 Class Number: $\mathbf{5 8 9 4 7}$

|  | \# Respondents: |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Form Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choices: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree | Percent of Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course Content and Structure | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean |
| The syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, requirements, and grading system. | 39\% | 57\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.36 |
| Course content was relevant and useful (e.g., readings, online media, classwork, assignments). | 36\% | 57\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.29 |
| Resources (e.g., articles, literature, textbooks, class notes, online resources) were easy to access. | 32\% | 54\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.18 |
| This course challenged me. | 64\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.64 |
| Teaching Delivery | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean |
| This instructor was consistently well-prepared. | 57\% | 39\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.54 |
| This instructor was audible and clear. | 54\% | 43\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.46 |
| This instructor was knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the topic. | 57\% | 36\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.50 |
| This instructor effectively used examples/illustrations to promote learning. | 43\% | 46\% | 7\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.29 |
| This instructor fostered questions and/or class participation. | 39\% | 46\% | 11\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.21 |
| This instructor clearly explained important information/ideas/concepts. | 36\% | 43\% | 18\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.11 |
| This instructor effectively used teaching methods appropriate to this class (e.g., critiques, discussion, demonstrations, group work). | 32\% | 43\% | 14\% | 11\% | 0\% | 28 | 3.96 |
| Learning Environment | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean |
| This instructor responded appropriately to questions and comments. | 46\% | 46\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.36 |
| This instructor stimulated student thinking and learning. | $32 \%$ | 61\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.21 |
| This instructor promoted an atmosphere of mutual respect regarding diversity in student demographics and viewpoints, such as race, gender, or politics. | 54\% | 43\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.46 |
| This instructor was approachable and available for extra help. | 39\% | 39\% | 18\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.14 |
| This instructor used class time effectively. | 50\% | 36\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.36 |
| This instructor helped students to be independent learners, responsible for their own learning. | 43\% | 50\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.32 |
| Assessment | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean |
| I was well-informed about my performance during this course. | 25\% | 43\% | 21\% | 11\% | 0\% | 28 | 3.82 |
| Assignments/projects/exams were graded fairly based on clearly communicated criteria. | 32\% | 43\% | 18\% | 7\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.00 |
| This instructor provided feedback that helped me improve my skills in this subject area. | 29\% | 43\% | 14\% | 14\% | 0\% | 28 | 3.86 |

## Mvaluation of Instruction and Course

University of Missouri

| Teaching Effectiveness | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This instructor taught effectively considering both the possibilities and limitations of the subject matter and the course (including class size and facilities). | 36\% | 46\% | 11\% | 7\% | 0\% | 28 | 4.11 |
| Feedback for Other Students (IDK = I Don't Know) | \% Yes | \% No | \% IDK |  |  | \# Rsp |  |
| Would you recommend this class to other students regarding...? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS CONTENT | 68\% | 18\% | 14\% |  |  | 28 |  |
| CLASS STRUCTURE (E.G., ORGANIZATION, PACING) | 64\% | 21\% | 14\% |  |  | 28 |  |
| POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT | 82\% | 11\% | 7\% |  |  | 28 |  |
| INSTRUCTOR'S TEACHING SKILL/STYLE | 68\% | 21\% | 11\% |  |  | 28 |  |
| FAIRNESS OF GRADING | 82\% | 18\% | 0\% |  |  | 28 |  |

Student Information (NA = Not Applicable, NR = No Response)

| Course |  | Expected Grade |  | Gender |  | Class Year |  | Classes attend |  | Extent use online |  | Outside hours per week |  | Complete work |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requireme | 86\% | A | 4\% | Male | 39\% | Freshman | 32\% | 0-25 | 0\% | None | 36\% | 0-3 | 14\% | 0-25 | 11\% |
| Elective | 11\% | B | 36\% | Female | 57\% | Sophomore | 54\% | 26-50 | 0\% | Little | 46\% | 4-7 | 18\% | 26-50 | 4\% |
| Other | 0\% | C | 57\% | Transgend | 0\% | Junior | 7\% | 51-75 | 4\% | Some | 18\% | 8-11 | 18\% | 51-75 | 18\% |
| NR | 4\% | D | 0\% | Prefer no | 0\% | Senior | 4\% | 76-90 | 11\% | Moderate | 0\% | 12-15 | 18\% | 76-90 | 39\% |
|  |  | F | 0\% | NR | 4\% | Graduate | 0\% | 91-100 | 86\% | Large | 0\% | $>15$ | 14\% | 91-100 | 29\% |
|  |  | S | 0\% |  |  | Other | 0\% | NA | 0\% | NA | 0\% | NA | 18\% | NA | 0\% |
|  |  | U | 0\% |  |  |  | 4\% | NR | 0\% |  | 0\% | NR | 0\% | NR | 0\% |
|  |  | None | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | NR | 4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Grade $A \& B=$ The mean score of students who reported an expected grade of $A$ or $B$.

| Construct Means (21 Questions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content/Struct |  | Teaching |  | Environment |  | Assessment |  | Effectiveness |  |
| Mean | 4.37 | Mean | 4.30 | Mean | 4.31 | Mean | 3.89 | Mean | 4.11 |
|  <br> B | 4.34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade A \& } \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | 4.48 |  <br> B | 4.44 |  <br> B | 4.18 |  <br> B | 4.27 |

COMPOSITE SCORE of the 21 Construct Questions

| Mean | 4.25 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  <br> B | 4.39 |

Construct Means and Composite Score are calculated based on the number of respondents for each question in order to apply less weight to questions not applicable to a class.

## Evaluation of Instruction and Course University of Missouri

## Group Report for: Streitz,Lonella Kathrine; Course: AMERICAN GOVERNMENT

Course: POL_SC 1100 Section: 04 Semester: FS2014 Class Number: 58947

## Section VI: Your Comments Are Valued

What aspects of the teaching or content of this course were especially good?

```
Showing differest talavision thews to compage or to explain thinge that we wove going
over. tas:ly appoachable to ave questrens ond to gat ovth halp. Intereaigg ts tulkto
abart thruys other than politrat freimed shows empathy towards the class.
```

d appreciate isowr willmgne
to diacuba multiple vieuparte

Never showed political bias alwouls asked for questions


It was good because insruetor was speertic/
knew what she was talkingarout a I learined

Extremely prepared and knoudedgable. Used class time extremely well.
teacher interested in topic

Great lecturer, learned a let.

Stritite was very enthusiastic and knowledgable, making the class enjoyable. I could highly recommend her and I'l like to hove a class $z$ hens in the force.
$\qquad$

Evaluation of Instruction and Course
University of Missouri
Extra Credit Movie

The professor commanded attention effortless ky. I was very interested in every lecture because of how well the information was presented. She cansedme to want to change vil major to something politically related.
very well versed in subject, alcuays willing to answer class questions, prompted class participation, fairness in grading

This course truly challenged one, but I am glad I took it. By the end of the course I feel much more educated on our government and the complexity of it.

- Interesting Relevent topics -
- TV/maie clips helped students understandlRelate to material. University of Missouri

```
Her enthuoiasm made it a mare intureotny
    class
```

She is always available to tak/communicate with


Very enthusiastic about what she was teaching and really wanted students to understand the
maternal.

